Oral Rinse as a Simpler Approach to Exfoliative Cytology: A Comparative Study

Dentistry Section

SHAILA MULKI¹, PUSHPARAJ SHETTY², PRAKASH PAI³

ABSTRACT

Background: Oral rinse is a novel method that can be used to detect dysplasia in potentially malignant disorders and malignant oral lesions in resource challenged areas. A study was undertaken to compare the quality of the normal smears prepared with the oral rinse and that of the wooden tongue spatula.

Material and Methods: One hundred five normal subjects were selected for the study. Two smears were prepared from clinically normal mucosa using an oral rinse and further two smears were scraped from clinically normal buccal mucosa using a wooden spatula.

INTRODUCTION

Biopsy is the diagnostic test of choice for oral potentially malignant disorders and malignant lesions.But since scalpel biopsy is an invasive procedure associated with potential morbidity, several adjunctive screening aids are used to assist clinicians with the detection of early cancerous changes [1]. Although oral exfoliative cytology is a simple non-invasive technique, the traditional exfoliative cytology methods show low sensitivity (i.e. a high proportion of false negatives), inadequate sampling, procedural errors, and the need for subjective interpretation of the findings [2]. Routinely shed oral epithelial cells can be detected in saliva and oral rinses, making cytologic and molecular analysis of this fluid attractive for oral cancer screening. In the present study epithelial cells collected via oral rinse method are subjected to routine cytologic analysis along with the conventional exfoliative cytology smear. The advantages of this method are increased patient comfort and the possibility of oral rinse collection by anyone, including the patient himself, without the requirement of health personnel or armamentarium, especially in resource challenged areas.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Hundred and five subjects from the Department of Oral Medicine, A.B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Deralkatte, India with normal oral mucosa were selected for the study. The study protocol was approved by the Committee on Ethics of the Nitte University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India. Patients were informed with regard to the research objectives, methods, possible benefits and potential risks, and a written consent was obtained from all participants.

Careful examination of the oral cavity was followed by exfoliative cytology (oral rinse based technique followed by wooden spatula based cytology).

Techniques employed: Oral rinse technique was used to collect oral cells. Patient was asked to swish his/her mouth with water and expectorate. Then, the clinically normal buccal mucosa was rubbed on firmly by the patient themselves using their tongue for 30 seconds. While swishing phosphate buffered saline, pH -7.2 was used and patient was asked to expectorate into a sterile container.

Statistical Analysis: The smears were graded for cell yield, dispersion and cellular clarity on a three-point scale by two observers. The results were analyzed using Mann Whitney non parametric test.

Results: The Oral rinse was found to be significantly more efficient than the wooden spatula, in terms of cell yield (p<0.0001), cell dispersion (p =.0052) and cellular detail (p<0.0001).

Conclusion: The study showed that the Oral rinse is an effective method for use in exfoliative cytology of normal oral mucosa.

Keywords: Exfoliative cytology, Oral rinse, Oral mucosa

Once the sample was obtained, it was labelled and centrifuged at 1,000 rpm for 5 minutes. Supernatant fluid was discarded and smears were prepared from the cell plug. For exfoliative cytology, scrapings were obtained from clinically normal buccal mucosa using a standard moistened wooden spatula. Scrapings were smeared on labelled glass slides. All the slides thus prepared were immediately fixed in absolute alcohol and consequently stained with Papanicoloau stain.

All slides were assessed by 2 trained cytopathologists, who were not aware of the type of the technique from which the material was collected. For comparative analysis of both techniques three parameters were used: a) cell yield b) cellular dispersion and d) cellular clarity. Both the observers were asked to grade each parameter into Good /Average / Poor in a data sheet comprising a total of five parameters. These gradings were given a numerical value of 3, 2 and 1 respectively and the observers were referred to as O_1 and O_2 . Inter-examiner agreement between cytopathologist 1 and cytopathologist 2 was good (kappa=0.715) for conventional and oral rinse based cytology, respectively.

RESULTS

Among the 105 subjects, three and one samples were considered unsatisfactory on conventional cytology and oral rinse based cytology, respectively. In conventional cytology, samples were disregarded due to excessive clumping or scarcity of cells. In oral rinse based cytology one sample was rejected due to scarcity of cells [Table/Fig–1]. Depicts the parameter assessment scoring by both the observers (O_1 and O_2). Mann Whitney test (nonparametric) was used to calculate the test of significance (p \leq 0.05.value) using minitab software for windows(release 12). Statistical analysis of cell yield, cellular dispersion and cellular clarity in both the smears using wooden spatula and oral rinse showed a statistically significant difference between the two methods [Table/Fig–2].

DISCUSSION

Although conventional cytology was used for evaluating oral lesions as far back as 1963, [3] it has not been widely adopted and has fallen into disrepute in most centers because of poor sensitivity

Parameters	Wooden	spatula	Oral Rinse					
09	O ₁	O ₂	O ₁	O ₂				
	Scoring by the observers							
Cell yield	260	243	282	266				
Cellular dispersion	248	260	262	276				
Cellular clarity	247	268	292	271				
[Table/Fig-1]: Assessment of three parameters by two observers (O $_1$, O $_2$) in slides								

prepared from both the techniques

preparations than conventional cytology, and reduce the false negative results. The liquid based cytology reduces the problems related to sampling and fixation and presents a better cytological morphology. Both sensitivity and specificity are better in liquid based cytology than in conventional cytology [10]. As liquid-based cytology method allows for the preparations of more than one slide per sample collected, there always will be enough material for other techniques besides Papanicolaou stain, such as PAS and Methamine Silver. Finally, the material preserved in the solution

Parameters	Number of Samples	Median	Point Estimate	95.0 Percent Confidence Interval	w-value	p-value		
Cell yield Wooden spatula	101	5.0000	0.0000	(-0.9999,0.0001)	W = 8704.0	0.0001(adjusted for ties) significant		
Cell yield Oral rinse	101	6.0000						
Cellular dispersion Wooden spatula	101	5.0000	-0.0000	(-1.0001,0.0001)	W = 9175.0	0.0052(adjusted for ties) significant		
Cellular dispersion Oral rinse	101	5.0000						
Cellular clarity Wooden spatula	101	5.0000	-1.0000	(-1.0001,0.0000)	W = 8438.0	<0.0001(adjusted for ties) significant		
Cellular clarity Oral rinse		6.0000						
Table/Fig-21: Statistical analysis of the parameters using Mann Whitney Test								

and specificity for identifying dysplasia and malignancy. However, it should not be forgotten that whilst histological assessment is the accepted method of diagnosis, the histopathological interpretation of dysplastic lesions can also be prone to subjectivity [4].

During the 1980's, a cytobrush was introduced for cervical smears in gynecological lesions. The adaptation of the cytobrush for oral cancer diagnosis helped revive major interest in oral cytology. Since then, various studies have been published describing different diagnostic techniques that have improved the sensitivity and specificity of conventional oral cytology [5]. A similar study was undertaken to compare the efficiency of Cytobrush with that of wooden tongue spatula. The Cytobrush was found to be significantly more efficient than the wooden spatula, in terms of both cell yield (p less than .005) and cell dispersion (p less than .005). The study showed that the Cytobrush was an effective instrument for use in exfoliative cytology of normal oral mucosa [6].

Further the oral brush biopsy came into light, with computerassisted analysis and was simple to perform, non-invasive, and had the potential to overcome many of the obstacles that had hindered early detection of early stage cancers and dysplasia [7]. Oral CDx (OraCDx Laboratories, Suffern, NY) is a computer-assisted method for the analysis of cellular samples collected by using a patented brush. This technique was designed to evaluate any oral epithelial abnormality without an obvious etiology for dysplasia or cancer [8]. However, some authors were of the opinion that Oral cancer is a poor man's disease and the methods of diagnosis should be cheap and accurate [8]. Thus the authors have no doubt in their minds to say that the oral CDX brush biopsy is irrelevant for oral cancer detection in developing countries [9]. Several markers assessed within oral exfoliative cytology samples have shown promise ,although each in isolation have limitations [4].

The development of a reliable test in the detection and follow-up of malignant lesions, which is painfree, simple,less time consuming, cost effective and reproducible, remains the objective. The oral rinse technique is one such method which meets most of these criteria. Added advantages of this method are increased patient comfort, ease in sample collection especially in resource challenged areas and epidemiologic studies. Also, overlapping of cells and debris [Table/Fig–3] which is a common problem with the conventional exfoliative cytology can be considerably reduced in oral rinse smears [Table/Fig–4], thus providing a clearer background for better visualization of cells in a debris free smear. But the procedure requires well trained staff for proper processing of the sample, otherwise it can result in poor quality of the smears.

The use of liquid based cytology can offer improved and repeatable



[Iable/Fig-3]: Photomicrograph of a smear showing overlapping of cells in a smear prepared with wooden spatula X400(Pap)



[Table/Fig-4]: Photomicrograph of a oral rinse smear showing cells in a clear background X400(Pap)

has a long storage life; therefore remaining may be available for additional analyses like immunostaining [10].

There are several studies based on oral liquid-based cytology. All these studies are based on liquid based cytology using a brush to collect the cells, in contrast to the present study which uses oral rinse directly to collect cells. Hence, the present study too can be considered as a liquid based cytologic method. A similar study compared specimen adequacy and diagnostic agreement between liquid-based preparations using a brush and conventional smears in oral lesions, and also tested the viability of immunocytochemical assay in liquid-based preparations from oral carcinoma lesions. They inferred that both the smears were diagnostically reliable; and that the liquid-based method showed an overall improvement on sample preservation, specimen adequacy, visualization of cell morphology and reproducibility [10]. A case-control study was developed to evaluate the sensitivity, specificity, and concordance between conventional cytology and liquid-based cytology which comprised of patients with primary Oral Squamous Cellular Carcinoma (OSCC) (case group) and normal buccal mucosa (control group). When compared, conventional cytology and liquid-based cytology showed a high sensitivity and reasonable specificity [11].

Oral rinse technique has been in use for long, mainly for microbiological purposes, especially to analyse oral candidal colonization [12]. Recently the same technique was utilized to detect oral squamous cell carcinoma [13]. Epidemiological studies conducted earlier concluded that both a 10-ml oral-rinse sample and 2-ml whole-saliva sample provide sufficient DNA quantity and better quality DNA for genetic epidemiological studies than do the commonly used buccal swab and brush techniques. Since the present technique utilized PBS for collection of cells, remaining cells can be stored and used later for immunohistochemistry and DNA studies [14].

The present study was conducted as a preliminary study to assess the quality of the oral rinse based smears. Further studies with larger sample size and including potentially malignant disorders and oral cancer is being executed. It revealed that oral rinse based cytology resulted in improved quality of cell yield, cellular dispersion and clarity among other advantages.

CONCLUSION

Oral rinse based cytology may be used as a convenient alternative to traditional exfoliative cytology in normal oral mucosa.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We sincerely thank the Management, Principal and Staff of KVG Dental College for their support.

REFERENCES

- Michael P Rethman, et al. Evidence-based clinical recommendations regarding screening for oral squamous cell carcinomas. JADA. 2010;141(5): 509-20.
- [2] Diniz-freitas M, García-garcía A, Crespo-abelleira A, Martins-carneiro JI, Gándararey Jm. Applications of Exfoliative Cytology in the Diagnosis of Oral Cancer. *Med Oral.* 2004; 9: 355-61.
- [3] Ogden GR, Cowpe JG, Wight AJ. Oral exfoliative cytology: review of methods of assessment. J Oral Pathol Med. 1997;26:201–15.
- [4] GR Ogden. The Future Role for Oral Exfoliative Cytology-Bleak or Bright? Oral Oncology. 1997; 339(1): 2-4.
- [5] Sandler HC. Veterans Administration cooperative study of oral exfoliative cytology. Acta Cytol. 1963; 7: 180–82.
- [6] Ogden GR, Cowpe JG, et al. Cytobrush and wooden spatula for oral exfoliative cytology. A comparison. Acta Cytol. 1992; 36 (5): 706-10.
- [7] Mehrotra R, Hullmann M, Smeets R, Reichert TE, Driemel O. Oral cytology revisited. J Oral Pathol Med. 2009; 38: 161–66.
- [8] Mehrotra, R, The role of cytology in oral lesions: A review of recent improvement, Diag Cytopath. 2012;40: 73–83.
- Babu Mathew, Sayed Mohammed Nabeel. The relevance of CDX brush biopsy in diagnosing early oral cancer in developing countries. Oral & Maxillofacial Pathology Journal. (OMPJ) Jan-Jun 2011;2(1) :115-16.
- [10] Hayama FH, Motta AC, Silva Ade P, Migliari DA. Liquid-based preparations versus conventional cytology: specimen adequacy and diagnostic agreement in oral lesions. Oral Med Pathol. 2005;23:1927-33.
- [11] Bowes G, Sivarajasingam V, Chisholm D, Rice I' and Ogden GR. Cell sampling from whole saliva: reproducibility and influence of alcohol abuse. *Journal of Dental Resrarch*. 1995; 74: 880.
- [12] Coulter WA, Murray SD, Kinirons MJ. The use of a concentrated oral rinse culture technique to sample oral candida and lactobacilli in children, and the relationship between candida and lactobacilli levels and dental caries experience: a pilot study. Int J Paediatr Dent. 1993; Mar;3(1):17-21.
- [13] Satoshi Nagata, et al. Aberrant DNA methylation of tumor-related genes in oral rinse. A noninvasive method for detection of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer.* 2012;118(17): 4298–4308.
- [14] King IB, Satia-Abouta J, Thornquist MD, Bigler J, Patterson RE, Kristal AR, Shattuck AL, Potter JD, White EBuccal cell DNA yield, quality, and collection costs: comparison of methods for large-scale studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2002. Oct 11(10 Pt 1):1130-3.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. PhD Scholar, A.B. Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Nitte University, Derlakatte Mangalore-575018, Karnataka, India. Also Reader, Department
- of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, KVG Dental College and Hospital, Kurunjibag, Sullia- 574327, Karnataka, India
- 2. HOD and Professor, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, AB Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Deralkatte, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.
- 3. Professor, Department of Periodontics, KVG Dental College and Hospital, Kurunjibag, Sullia-574327, Karnataka, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Shaila Mulki,

PhD Scholar, A.B.Shetty Memorial Institute of Dental Sciences, Nitte University, Derlakatte Mangalore-575018, Karnataka, India. Also Reader, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, KVG Dental College and Hospital, Kurunjibag, Sullia- 574327, Karnataka, India. Phone: 0091- 9980736405; E mail- shailambhat123@gmail.com

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.

Date of Submission: Apr 19, 2013 Date of Peer Review: Jun 26, 2013 Date of Acceptance: Jul 15, 2013 Date of Publishing: Dec 15, 2013